



ASSESSOR BRIEFING

What's changed in your AI reports

A practical guide to reading the updated AI Detection Report — what to look at first, and what it does and doesn't tell you.

THE ONE IDEA TO HOLD ONTO

The report tells you **what to read** . It doesn't tell you what the learner did.

01

Follow the Verdict

It is the primary signal. Everything else supports it.

02

The AI Score is secondary

It can disagree with the Verdict. That's expected, not a fault.

03

Flagged sentences show what to read

The quickest way into a review. Start there.

04

Indicative, never proof

It prompts a conversation with the learner, not a sanction.

WHAT CHANGED

Why the reports changed

GONE

The overall percentage

You'll look for it and not find it. It was removed on purpose — averaging verdicts produced a figure that read like a grade.

NEW

A Portfolio Verdict

Every report now opens with words, not a number — one of five review levels that tells you how much to read.

NEW

Flagged sentences


Specific sentences now appear under answers — the single most useful thing in the report.

The result

The report now points you straight to what's worth reading — the Verdict sets the depth of review, and the flagged sentences show you exactly where to look.

ORIENTATION

The new front page



- 1

Portfolio Verdict — read this first

Words, not a number. It sets how much of the portfolio you review.
- 2

The review counts

This sample: **10 flagged** of **71 assessed** — 4 AI, 5 mixed, 1 human with AI parts.
- 3

What to do

The report spells out the next step for this verdict in plain language.
- x

No overall percentage

Don't go looking for one — it's gone by design.

THE REPORT OPENS WITH ONE OF THESE

The five Portfolio Verdicts

VERDICT	MEANING	WHAT TO DO
Detailed Review Required	Widespread AI indicators across the portfolio.	Review everything, not just flagged answers. Consider verifying understanding through questioning or practical assessment.
Review Flagged Answers	AI indicators found in specific answers.	Read each flagged answer and judge whether the writing matches the learner's work elsewhere.
Spot-Check Suggested	Minor indicators only; no outright AI verdicts.	A spot-check of the flagged answers is sufficient.
No AI Indicators Found	Every assessed answer was classified as human-written.	No action.
Not Enough Assessable Text	Too few answers could be assessed to score the portfolio.	Treat verdicts as indicative only; rely on your own judgement.

Notice the pattern — every verdict names **an action** , never a conclusion about the learner. A wrong review costs some reading; a wrong accusation costs a great deal more.

READING EACH ANSWER

Three signals on every answer

PRIMARY

Verdict

The overall assessment of the answer. This is the main signal — the one the classification and portfolio verdict are built from. **Follow this one.**

SECONDARY

AI Score %

How much of the answer reads as AI-generated. **Not a probability**, and measured separately from the Verdict.

EVIDENCE

Flagged sentences

The specific sentences identified, shown in red under the answer. The quickest way into a review.

The Verdict and the AI Score come from **two independent checks**, so they can disagree. Where they differ, follow the Verdict.

A WORKED EXAMPLE

When the signals disagree

HUMAN + AI PARTS

AI Score 24% · 38 words

Q20. How do common medically controlled diseases affect the nation, and how may they impact on a client's lifestyle?

Verdict: "Most Likely Human written, may include parts generated by AI/GPT"

SENTENCE FLAGGED AS AI-GENERATED

"Hypertension and diabetes place pressure on healthcare services and can reduce quality of life."

Generic textbook phrasing — exactly what an assessor should eyeball. Under the old logic this was reported as plain "Human" with nothing to look at.

All of these are normal:

- **AI Score 0%** with a **Mixed signals** verdict.
- **AI Score 100%** with a human-leaning verdict.
- A flagged answer with **no flagged sentences at all**.

None of these is an error.
Where they differ, **follow the Verdict not the score.**

WHERE TO BEGIN A REVIEW

Flagged sentences: start here

HUMAN + AI PARTS

#10 · AI Score 24.0% · 38 words

20. How do common medically controlled diseases affect the nation, and how may they impact on a client's lifestyle

Unit 3 – Planning Exercise Programme Design (Short Answer Questions)

Verdict: Your Text is Most Likely Human written, may include parts generated by AI/GPT

SENTENCES FLAGGED AS AI-GENERATED

Hypertension and diabetes place pressure on healthcare services and can reduce quality of life.

Hypertension and diabetes place pressure on healthcare services and can reduce quality of life. They may require medication, regular monitoring, dietary changes and increased physical activity. Exercise programmes may also need to be adapted to the client’s condition.

- The red sentences are your reading list

They show exactly which wording produced the result. Read those first, then judge the whole answer.
- Ask: does this match their other work?

Compare the flagged writing against answers you know are the learner's.
- Some flagged answers have none

An answer can be flagged without any flagged sentences — the two are separate checks. Read the whole answer.

THE QUEUE IS ALREADY PRIORITISED

The order to read in

1

AI verdicts
Judged AI-generated — read these first.

2

Mixed signals
Partly AI-generated.

3

Human + AI parts
Human-written but may contain AI parts.

↓

Routine answers, at the back
Human-classified answers, listed in unit order. No action indicated.

PER-ANSWER BADGES

AI

Judged AI-generated

MIXED

Partly AI-generated

HUMAN + AI PARTS

Human-written, may contain AI parts

HUMAN

Human-written

NOT ASSESSED

Too little text to judge reliably

Colour shows **review priority** , not classification — which is why Mixed and Human + AI parts share the same orange. Always read the label with the colour.

KEEP THIS IN MIND

What detection can and can't tell you

WHAT IT DOES

Points you to the answers worth reading closely.

Prioritises the queue for you — most serious first.

Starts a conversation with the learner.

WHAT IT DOESN'T

Prove misconduct — it's indicative, not conclusive, and not evidence on its own.

Judge short answers reliably — results for answers under 50 words are less reliable

NOT ASSESSED is no result, not a pass — those answers are excluded from all counts.

For IQA

The report is submitted to IQA alongside the portfolio — either showing no AI detected, or, where AI is indicated, with your annotations confirming the checks you carried out. The next slide sets out exactly what to submit in each case.

SUBMITTING TO IQA

What to do next

Read the flagged answers, follow the Verdict — then record the outcome for IQA. Which of the three applies depends on what you found.

NO AI DETECTED

Submit as normal

Submit the portfolio to IQA with the report attached. No further action.

AI DETECTED — YOU AGREE

Refer back, then re-run

Refer the work back to the learner. Once they've made their changes, run the report again before submitting.

AI DETECTED — YOU DISAGREE

Annotate, then submit

Discuss it with the learner — question them to confirm their knowledge and check the writing against their other work. If satisfied it's their own, annotate the report to record this and submit to IQA.

Questions? Speak to your Mentor Manager.

Access the tool [here](#)

